

DR TIMOTHY CARDOZO (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-0643-4497)

Article type : Perspective

**TITLE:** Informed consent disclosure to vaccine trial subjects of risk of COVID-19 vaccines worsening clinical disease

**AUTHOR NAMES AND AFFILIATIONS:** Timothy Cardozo<sup>1\*</sup> and Ronald Veazey<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, NYU Langone Health, 550 First Avenue, New York NY 10016

<sup>2</sup>Division of Comparative Pathology, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Tulane University School of Medicine, Tulane National Primate Research Center, 18703 Three Rivers Road, Covington LA 70433

\*Corresponding author: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, NYU Langone Health, 550 First Avenue, MSB 222, New York NY 10016. Phone: 212-263-6337. Email: cardot01@nyumc.org

**DISCLOSURES:** none

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/ijcp.13795](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13795)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

## **Structured Abstract**

### *Aims of the study*

Patient comprehension is a critical part of meeting medical ethics standards of informed consent in study designs. The aim of the study was to determine if sufficient literature exists to require clinicians to disclose the specific risk that COVID-19 vaccines could worsen disease upon exposure to challenge or circulating virus.

### *Methods used to conduct the study*

Published literature was reviewed to identify preclinical and clinical evidence that COVID-19 vaccines could worsen disease upon exposure to challenge or circulating virus. Clinical trial protocols for COVID-19 vaccines were reviewed to determine if risks were properly disclosed.

### *Results of the study*

COVID-19 vaccines designed to elicit neutralizing antibodies may sensitize vaccine recipients to more severe disease than if they were not vaccinated. Vaccines for SARS, MERS and RSV have never been approved, and the data generated in the development and testing of these vaccines suggest a serious mechanistic concern: that vaccines designed empirically using the traditional approach (consisting of the unmodified or minimally modified coronavirus viral spike to elicit neutralizing antibodies), be they composed of protein, viral vector, DNA or RNA and irrespective of delivery method, may worsen COVID-19 disease via antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE). This risk is sufficiently obscured in clinical trial protocols and consent forms for ongoing COVID-19 vaccine trials that adequate patient comprehension of this risk is unlikely to occur, obviating truly informed consent by subjects in these trials.

### *Conclusions drawn from the study and clinical implications*

The specific and significant COVID-19 risk of ADE should have been and should be prominently and independently disclosed to research subjects currently in vaccine trials, as

well as those being recruited for the trials and future patients after vaccine approval, in order to meet the medical ethics standard of patient comprehension for informed consent.

### **What's known**

There is extensive knowledge of the risk of ADE in prior viral epidemics of SARS, MERS, dengue, measles and RSV. There is extensive knowledge about the molecular similarities between SARS, MERS and SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19, and extensive knowledge about the pattern of COVID-19 disease in individuals and populations. The composition and design of SARS, MERS and COVID-19 vaccines are known.

### **What's new**

Based on the clinical trial protocols, physicians are unlikely to be prominently and clearly disclosing the risk of ADE to COVID-19 vaccine recipients in clinical trials, which portends the standard of care after vaccine approval. Whether ADE will and has been occurring in COVID-19 remains unproven. This review reveals that the probability that ADE is occurring or will occur in COVID-19 and vaccine recipients is high enough to be significant, requiring disclosure as a specific, and probably the paramount, risk in informed consents for COVID-19 vaccines.

## **The risk of ADE in COVID-19 vaccines is non-theoretical and compelling**

Vaccine-elicited enhancement of disease was previously observed in human subjects with vaccines for respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), dengue virus and measles<sup>1</sup>. Vaccine-elicited enhancement of disease was also observed with the SARS and MERS viruses and with feline coronavirus, which are closely related to SARS-CoV-2, the causative pathogen of COVID-19 disease. The immune mechanisms of this enhancement have invariably involved antibodies, from direct antibody-dependent enhancement, to immune complex formation by antibodies, albeit accompanied by various coordinated cellular responses, such as Th2 T-cell skewing<sup>2-7</sup>. Notably, both neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibodies have been implicated. A recent study revealed IgG-mediated acute lung injury *in vivo* in macaques infected with SARS that correlated with a vaccine-elicited, neutralizing antibody response<sup>8</sup>. Inflammation and tissue damage in the lung in this animal model recapitulated the inflammation and tissue damage in the lungs of SARS-infected patients who succumbed to the disease. The time course was also similar, with the worst damage occurring in delayed fashion in synchrony with ramping up of the immune response. Remarkably, neutralizing antibodies controlled the virus in the animal, but then would precipitate a severe, tissue-damaging, inflammatory response in the lung. This is a similar profile to immune-complex mediated disease seen with RSV vaccines in the past, wherein vaccinees succumbed to fatal enhanced RSV disease due to the formation of antibody-virus immune complexes that precipitated harmful, inflammatory immune responses. It is also similar to the clinical course of COVID-19 patients, in whom severe COVID-19 disease is associated with the development of anti-SARS-CoV-2 serum antibodies<sup>9</sup>, with titers correlating directly with the severity of disease<sup>10</sup>. Conversely, subjects who recover quickly may have low or no anti-SARS-CoV-2 serum antibodies<sup>11</sup>.

The elicitation of antibodies, specifically neutralizing antibodies, is the goal of nearly every current SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidate. The prior evidence that vaccine-elicited, antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of disease is likely to occur to some degree with COVID-19

vaccines is vertically consistent from controlled SARS studies in primates to clinical observations in SARS and COVID-19. Thus, a finite, non-theoretical risk is evident in the medical literature that vaccine candidates composed of the SARS-CoV-2 viral spike and eliciting anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, be they neutralizing or not, place vaccinees at higher risk for more severe COVID-19 disease when they encounter circulating viruses. Indeed, studies in mice of prior SARS vaccines revealed this exact phenotype, with four human vaccine candidates eliciting neutralizing antibodies and protecting against SARS challenge, but viral re-challenge of thus vaccinated animals resulting in immunopathologic lung disease<sup>5</sup>. Independently, SARS/MERS vaccine candidates, commonly exhibited ADE associated with high inflammatory morbidity in preclinical models, obstructing their advancement to the clinic<sup>4,12</sup>. SARS ADE of both disease in non-human primates and viral infection of cells *in vitro* was clearly mapped to specific antibody-targeted SARS viral spike epitopes<sup>6</sup>. This phenomenon was consistent across a variety of vaccine platforms, including DNA, vector primes and virus-like particles (VLP), irrespective of inoculation method (oral, intramuscular, subcutaneous, etc). An unknown variable is how long this tissue damage lasts, possibly resulting in permanent morbidity (e.g. diabetes from pancreatic damage<sup>7</sup>).

Current data on COVID-19 vaccines is limited but does not reveal evidence of ADE of disease. Non-human primate studies of Moderna's mRNA-1273 vaccine showed excellent protection with no detectable immunopathology<sup>13</sup>. Phase 1 trials of several vaccines have not reported any immunopathology in subjects administered the candidate vaccines, however these subjects were unlikely to have encountered circulating virus yet<sup>14</sup>.

Nevertheless, all preclinical studies to date have been performed with the Wuhan or closely related strains of the virus, while a mutant D614G virus is now the most prevalent circulating form, and several observations suggest that this alternative form may be antigenically distinct from the Wuhan derived strain, not so much in composition, but in conformation of the viral spike and exposure of neutralization epitopes<sup>15-18</sup>. Similarly, Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials of vaccine candidates have only been designed around immunogenicity as an efficacy endpoint and have not been designed to capture exposure of subjects to circulating virus

after vaccination, which is when ADE/immunopathology is designed to occur. Thus, the absence of ADE evidence in COVID-19 vaccine data so far does not absolve investigators from disclosing the risk of enhanced disease to vaccine trial participants, and it remains a realistic, non-theoretical risk to the subjects.

### **Challenges to informed consent for COVID-19 vaccine studies**

Informed consent procedures for vaccine trials commonly include disclosure of very minor risks such as injection site reactions, rare risks from past, *unrelated* vaccines/viruses, such as Guillan-Barre syndrome for swine flu (interest in which is likely behind the interest in Astra Zeneca's recent vaccine transverse myelitis event) and generic statements about the risk of idiosyncratic systemic adverse events and death. Specific risks to research participants derived from biological mechanism are rarely included, often due to ambiguity about their applicability<sup>19</sup>.

Signed consent forms from the COVID-19 vaccine trials are not publicly available due to privacy concerns. They also vary from clinical site to clinical site, and sample consent forms on which they are based are not required to be disclosed until after the trial is over, if at all. However, these consent forms are usually identical in content to the "Risks to participants" section of the trial protocols, which have been released publicly by Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson for their COVID-19 vaccine trials<sup>(20 & Supplement)</sup>. As these three vaccines are representative of the diversity of vaccines being tested, it is very likely that the consent form inferred from these protocols is similar or identical to those from any and all of the vaccine trials currently underway. All three protocols mention the risk of disease enhancement by the vaccine, but all three list this risk last or next to last in the list of risks, after risks from the Ad26-Cov2 vector, adenovirus vectors in general, risks of vaccination in general, risks for pregnancy and birth control (which are said to be "unknown"), risks of blood draws and risks from collection of nasal swab samples (for the Johnson and Johnson vaccine), after allergy, fainting, local site injection reaction, general systemic adverse reactions and laboratory abnormalities for the Moderna vaccine and after local site injection

Accepted Article  
reactions and general systemic adverse events for the Pfizer vaccine. In addition, both Moderna and Johnson and Johnson term the risk of vaccine-elicited disease enhancement as “theoretical”. Finally, in citing the risk, Pfizer and Moderna note prior evidence of vaccine-elicited disease enhancement with RSV and dengue, as well as feline coronavirus (Pfizer) and measles (Moderna), however, SARS and MERS are not mentioned. Johnson and Johnson discusses SARS and MERS, but make an unusual scientific argument that vaccine-elicited disease enhancement is due to non-neutralizing antibodies and Th2-skewed cellular responses and that Ad26 vaccination does not exhibit this profile. In all, the evidence from the Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson protocols for their COVID-19 vaccine trials when contrasted with the evidence for antibody-dependent enhancement of disease presented by this report and widely available to any skilled practitioner in the field establishes that patient comprehension of the specific risk that receiving the vaccine could convert a subject from someone who experiences mild disease to someone who experiences severe disease, lasting morbidity or even death is unlikely to be achieved by the informed consent procedures planned for these clinical trials.

Medical ethics standards required that, given the extent of evidence in the medical literature reviewed above, the risk of ADE should be clearly and emphatically distinguished in the informed consent from risks observed *rarely* as well as the more obvious risk of lack of efficacy, which is unrelated to the specific risk of ADE. Based on the published literature, it should have been obvious to any skilled medical practitioner in 2019 that there is a significant risk to vaccine research subjects that they may experience severe disease once vaccinated, while they might only have experienced a mild, self-limited disease if not vaccinated. The consent should also clearly distinguish the specific risk of worsened COVID-19 disease from generic statements about risk of death and generic risk of lack of efficacy of the vaccine.

## Conclusion

Given the strong evidence that ADE is a non-theoretical and compelling risk for COVID-19 vaccines and the “laundry list” nature of informed consents, disclosure of the specific risk of

worsened COVID-19 disease from vaccination calls for a specific, separate, informed consent form and demonstration of patient comprehension in order to meet medical ethics standards. The informed consent process for ongoing COVID-19 vaccine trials does not appear to meet this standard. While the COVID-19 global health emergency justifies accelerated vaccine trials of candidates with known liabilities, such an acceleration is not inconsistent with additional attention paid to heightened informed consent procedures specific to COVID-19 vaccine risks.

### **Author Contributions**

TC and RV conceived this commentary. TC wrote the manuscript. RV edited and approved the manuscript.

### **Acknowledgements**

Supported by NIH award R21AI157604 (to TC).

### **Data Availability**

All data referenced in this report has been published in peer-reviewed literature or is available on the World Wide Web/Internet at the URL's indicated in the References section. Therefore, all data referenced in this report is publicly available in widely available data repositories.



## References

1. Huisman W, Martina BE, Rimmelzwaan GF, Gruters RA, Osterhaus AD. Vaccine-induced enhancement of viral infections. *Vaccine*. 2009;27(4):505-512.
2. Boyoglu-Barnum S, Chirkova T, Anderson LJ. Biology of Infection and Disease Pathogenesis to Guide RSV Vaccine Development. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:1675.
3. Chen WH, Hotez PJ, Bottazzi ME. Potential for developing a SARS-CoV receptor-binding domain (RBD) recombinant protein as a heterologous human vaccine against coronavirus infectious disease (COVID)-19. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics*. 2020:1-4.
4. Jiang S, He Y, Liu S. SARS vaccine development. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2005;11(7):1016-1020.
5. Tseng CT, Sbrana E, Iwata-Yoshikawa N, et al. Immunization with SARS coronavirus vaccines leads to pulmonary immunopathology on challenge with the SARS virus. *PloS one*. 2012;7(4):e35421.
6. Wang Q, Zhang L, Kuwahara K, et al. Immunodominant SARS Coronavirus Epitopes in Humans Elicited both Enhancing and Neutralizing Effects on Infection in Non-human Primates. *ACS infectious diseases*. 2016;2(5):361-376.
7. Yang JK, Lin SS, Ji XJ, Guo LM. Binding of SARS coronavirus to its receptor damages islets and causes acute diabetes. *Acta Diabetol*. 2010;47(3):193-199.
8. Liu L, Wei Q, Lin Q, et al. Anti-spike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing macrophage responses during acute SARS-CoV infection. *JCI insight*. 2019;4(4).
9. Liu ZL, Liu Y, Wan LG, et al. Antibody profiles in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. *Clinical chemistry*. 2020.
10. Piccoli L, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, et al. Mapping Neutralizing and Immunodominant Sites on the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain by Structure-Guided High-Resolution Serology. *Cell*. 2020.
11. DF R, C G, F M, et al. Convergent Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Convalescent Individuals. *bioRxiv*. 2020;doi:  
<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.092619>.

- Accepted Article
12. Yong CY, Ong HK, Yeap SK, Ho KL, Tan WS. Recent Advances in the Vaccine Development Against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus. *Front Microbiol.* 2019;10:1781.
  13. Corbett KS, Flynn B, Foulds KE, et al. Evaluation of the mRNA-1273 Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in Nonhuman Primates. *The New England journal of medicine.* 2020.
  14. Mulligan MJ, Lyke KE, Kitchin N, et al. Phase 1/2 study of COVID-19 RNA vaccine BNT162b1 in adults. *Nature.* 2020.
  15. Becerra-Flores M, Cardozo T. SARS-CoV-2 viral spike G614 mutation exhibits higher case fatality rate. *International journal of clinical practice.* 2020.
  16. Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, et al. Tracking Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that D614G Increases Infectivity of the COVID-19 Virus. *Cell.* 2020;182(4):812-827 e819.
  17. Mansbach RA, Chakraborty S, Nguyen K, Montefiori D, Korber B, Gnanakaran S. The SARS-CoV-2 Spike Variant D614G Favors an Open Conformational State. *bioRxiv.* 2020.
  18. Zhang L, Jackson C, Mou H, et al. The D614G mutation in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reduces S1 shedding and increases infectivity. *bioRxiv.* 2020;doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.12.148726>.
  19. Wendler D. What should be disclosed to research participants? *Am J Bioeth.* 2013;13(12):3-8.
  20. McNamara D. Three Major COVID Vaccine Developers Release Detailed Trial Protocols. <https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/937845>. 2020.